

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/625,812	CLARK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Antonio A. Caschera	2628	

**All Participants:**

(1) Antonio A. Caschera.

(2) David Raczkowski.

**Status of Application:** Responding to amendment filed 07/11/07

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 September 2007

**Time:** 2:00PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 101

Claims discussed:

36-40 and 47

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's Representative to inquire upon performing an Examiner's Amendment to correct for 35 USC 101 issues in claims 36-40 and 47. In particular, Examiner proposed deleting the 1st line of claim 36 reciting, "A computer program product..." in order to further establish that the "computer readable medium" limitation of the claim and canceling claim 39 as it is directed to nonstatutory subject matter (signal type claim). The computer readable medium is clearly defined in the specification as statutory material, which eliminates the previous "product" term as any type of transmittable signal. Further, the specification also mentions that computer programs maybe stored or transmitted (see paragraph 95 of the specification), which in accordance with 35 USC 101, the Office interprets such programs to be stored. Applicant's Representative agreed to such changes and reminded Examiner to also modify the preamble of depend claims 37-38, 40 and 47 according to claim 36.